## Letters

# Novel Ray-tracing Acceleration Technique Using Genetic Algorithm for Radio Propagation Prediction

## Tetsuro Imai<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

This article describes a ray-tracing acceleration technique that uses a genetic algorithm (GA\_RT method) to mitigate the problem of performing large-scale computation for predicting mobile radio propagation in a practical amount of time. Computer simulation results for its performance show that the number of calculations is reduced to approximately 20% when the allowable calculation error is 4 dB. Moreover, when there are many calculation points in a wide area, a chain model is used in conjunction with the GA\_RT method. This combination minimizes the computation time to approximately 6% with a calculation error of approximately 1 dB.

#### 1. Introduction

In mobile communications systems, it is extremely important to predict radio propagation characteristics, especially the propagation loss, when designing service areas. The Okumura-Hata model is usually used to estimate the propagation loss when the antenna of the base-station is mounted higher than the surrounding buildings [1]. This model is very easy to use because it is expressed as a propagation loss prediction formula that only uses four parameters: the twodimensional distance in the horizontal plane between the base station and a mobile station, the frequency, and the heights of the base- and mobile-station antennas. The values of these parameters are easily obtained. However, the Okumura-Hata model was derived experimentally by statistically analyzing measurement data, so it can be applied only within the following limits: transmitter-to-receiver distance from 1 to 20 km, frequency from 150 to 1500 MHz, base-station antenna height from 30 to 200 m, and mobile-station height from 1 to 10 m. In recent years,

with progress in mobile communication systems, base-station antennas have frequently been mounted below the surrounding rooftops and a cell size smaller than 1 km has been used in urban areas. In these situations, the Okumura-Hata model cannot be applied and a model that can predict more site-specific characteristics is desired. Furthermore, the prediction of other characteristics such as the direction of arrival, direction of departure, and time of arrival has recently become important in order to estimate the performance of spatial-temporal signal processing techniques in practical communication environments [2], [3].

The ray-tracing method has been used effectively for rendering in the field of 3D computer graphics [4]. In the radio propagation prediction field, many years of study have gone into creating practical methods based on ray-tracing for site-specific prediction [1]. An overview of the ray-tracing method for radio propagation prediction is shown in **Fig. 1**. Rays are geometrically traced from the transmitter to the receiver while taking into consideration the interaction with structures (reflection, diffraction, or transmission). Propagation characteristics are predicted based on information about the rays such as the electric field, path length, arrival angle, and departure

<sup>†</sup> NTT DoCoMo Yokosuka-shi, 239-8536 Japan Email: imait@nttdocomo.co.jp,



Fig. 1. Prediction of radio propagation characteristics using ray-tracing method.

angle. Here, there is a trade-off relationship between the prediction accuracy and computation time. To obtain a high level of prediction accuracy, it is necessary to trace the higher-order rays that undergo many interactions, while taking into account as many structures as possible in as wide an area as possible. This increases the number of ray-tracing processes and computation time. Acceleration of the ray-tracing process has become a major issue.

In this article, we introduce two ray-tracing acceleration techniques: the GA\_RT method and a chain model [5]. The GA\_RT method effectively applies a genetic algorithm [6] to the ray-tracing process and the chain model performs the GA\_RT method efficiently when there are many calculation points arranged on a surface, i.e., area prediction. The performance of these acceleration techniques was evaluated by computer simulation.

#### 2. Ray-tracing acceleration techniques

#### **2.1** Approach to acceleration

In general, there are three approaches for accelerating the ray-tracing process: 1) optimizing the raytracing process, 2) improving the efficiency of the ray-tracing algorithm, and 3) distributing the raytracing computational load. In the first approach, the types of rays are limited based on an empirical/theoretical propagation path model for reducing the number of traced rays. This approach has the potential to reduce the number of computations dramatically

vertical plane launching (VPL) method reported in [7], [8] and our sighted-objects-based ray-tracing method (SORT method) [9] are examples of this approach. In the second approach, the efficiency level of the ray-tracing algorithm, such as the imaging method and ray-launching method, is improved. Basically, in this approach, the computations are not approximated, so the prediction accuracy is not degraded. However, pre-processing before ray-tracing is generally required. The imaging method using a visibility graph [10] and the ray-launching method using a triangular grid [11] are examples of this approach. In the final approach, ray-tracing calculations are distributed to several other machines connected over a network. In this approach, there is no degradation in the prediction accuracy. Moreover, as long as it is used in a range where the network transfer rate does not become a bottleneck, the total processing speed will be proportional to the number of machines or central processing units used. If we can provide a suitable computing environment, this approach will be the most reliable.

even though the prediction accuracy is degraded. The

The most effective approach with a low cost is the first approach if a suitable propagation path model with a high level of prediction accuracy is available. However, it is generally very difficult to perform propagation path modeling that can be applied to any communication environment. Here, the VPL and SORT methods mentioned above have been proposed for an outdoor macrocell environment. If the problem



Fig. 2. Ray-paths set up from combination of planes and wedges for imaging method.



□: Planes/wedges that comprise a structure (gene)

Fig. 3. Population model.

is considered from another viewpoint, then a very effective acceleration method can be found for the ray-tracing processing by enabling the propagation path model to self-assemble adaptively for the surrounding communication environment. We focused on the genetic algorithm as a way to obtain the propagation path model by self-assembly.

### 2.2 Our technique

#### A. Basic model

Our GA\_RT method is based on the imaging method as the ray-tracing algorithm, even though it is possible to apply the genetic algorithm to the ray-launching method. The procedure for ray-tracing in the imaging method is described below.

- 1) Establish ray-paths while considering a combination of components (planes and wedges) extracted from structures in the computation area, as shown in **Fig. 2**.
- 2) Search for interaction points for each path.
- 3) Confirm whether or not a ray can be traced for each path.

When the number of components is M and the number of interactions for the target ray is N, the number of combinations or ray-paths is expressed as  $N_{all}$  (=

 $M^N$ ). Therefore, the computation time increases nonlinearly with the number of structures for N>1. On the other hand, many paths are rejected in general in Step 3 because interaction points cannot be defined based on the components. However, such eventually rejected paths cannot be known in advance. This means that the level of computation efficiency of the imaging method is low. In our GA\_RT method, the number of ray-paths is limited appropriately by using the genetic algorithm in advance, so the efficiency level is higher.

In a genetic algorithm, a chromosome is defined as a combination of multiple genes, whose corresponding characteristics are different from each other, and an individual is expressed by a chromosome. On the other hand, in the imaging method, ray-paths are expressed as combinations of components. This suggests that a genetic algorithm has a high affinity to the imaging method when each ray-path is handled as an individual. A population model defined using the GA RT method is shown in Fig. 3. A component, a combination of components, and a ray-path are considered as a gene, chromosome, and individual, respectively. Note that the maximum value of the population size,  $N_c$ , is  $N_{all}$ . In addition, the *fitness* of each individual is defined as the received power of a ray traced along the corresponding path. The processing procedure in the GA\_RT method is described below.

- 1) Establish the initial path-group by randomly extracting  $N_c$  from the theoretically possible ray-paths  $N_{all}$ .
- Re-establish the path-group by performing selection, crossover, and mutation, as shown in Fig. 4.
- 2) Trace the ray and calculate the received power as the fitness for each ray-path.
- 3) Evaluate the fitness for each ray-path.
- 4) Repeat Steps 1' through 4 until the finish condi-



Fig. 4. Updating of genetic algorithm generations.

tion is satisfied.

Here, in Steps 1 and 1', the path-group must be established (or re-established) using the following rules.

- A ray-path that repeats an interaction continuously in the same component is not considered.
- Combinations of ray-paths comprising a pathgroup are unique.

In this article, the calculation rate  $\rho$  is defined as an indicator to estimate the finish condition. It is given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{N_{all}} \sum_{i} N_{cal}^{(i)},\tag{1}$$

where  $N_{cal}^{(i)}$  is the number of ray-paths that appear in the *i*<sup>th</sup> generation (or iteration process), but the paths that have already appeared in previous generations are not counted. Ray-tracing is finished when the value of  $\rho$  exceeds threshold value  $\rho_{th}$  ( $0 \le \rho_{th} \le 1$ ), which is determined before processing. This means that ray-tracing processing in the case of  $\rho_{th} = 1$  is equal to the conventional imaging method, and the computation efficiency is evaluated  $\rho_{th}$  times in the case of  $\rho_{th} < 1$  in this article even though the amount of time for the re-establishing process that is performed based on the genetic algorithm must be considered, strictly speaking.

#### **B.** Chain model

Our chain model is for performing the GA\_RT method efficiently when there are many calculation points arranged on a surface, i.e., area prediction. The performance of the genetic algorithm depends on the initial population. When the initial population is suitable, the optimal results can be obtained in a short time. However, it is generally difficult to know such a population in advance.

Now, let us consider the case of performing raytracing with the conventional imaging method for two calculation points A and B. The finally obtained ray-paths for A and B are different from each other when the location of A is far from that for B. However, as the locations approach each other, the difference becomes smaller. This means that when the calculation for A is finished, the finally obtained raypaths for A are suitable as the initial path-group for B. Our model is named for this chain of events, which is shown in **Fig. 5**.

In the chain model, the calculation order is first established so that the distance  $\Delta r$  between calculation points is as short as possible. This process is called *course setting*. Next, the following two kinds of calculation points are defined. Here, Rx means a receiver.

- Initial point Rx0: The initial path-group is set up randomly.
- Normal point Rx: The initial path-group is set up by referring to that of the previous point.

Here,  $\Delta R (\geq \Delta r)$ , as shown in Fig. 5, is the distance between the initial points. As special cases, all calculation points become initial points for  $\Delta R = \Delta r$  and become normal points for  $\Delta R = \infty$ , except for the start point. Terms  $\rho_{th}^{(0)}$  and  $\rho_{th}$  are threshold values for the calculation rate, which is established at Rx0 and Rx, respectively. The processing flow of the GA\_RT method using the chain model is shown in **Fig. 6**.

#### 3. Performance evaluation

#### 3.1 Numerical simulation

We performed a numerical simulation to evaluate the performance of the GA\_RT method. The calculation model is shown in **Fig. 7**. This is a two-dimensional model in the horizontal plane, in which walls having two vertical edges are randomly distributed in a 2 km × 2 km area. In this model, the location of the transmitter is (0, -500) and three types of calculation points are defined.

•  $P_1$ : point located at (0, 500)



Fig. 5. Chain model.



Fig. 6. Flow of our method with chain model.

- $P_2$ : random point located  $\Delta r$  from  $P_1$ .
- *P*<sub>3</sub>: points on a meandering course.

Here, on the meandering course, the position of calculation point  $P_3$  is expressed as

 $M_0 = 50$ 

0.8

1



Fig. 7. Calculation model.

Fig. 8. Relationship between threshold value for calculation rate  $\rho_{th}$  and calculation error.

		Values
Structure	Material quality	Concrete ( $\varepsilon_r = 6.76$ , $\sigma = 0.0023$ )
	Width, thickness	30,0 m
	Number of structures: $M_0$ Total no. of planes and wedges: <i>M</i>	10 to 300, $M (= 3M_0) = 30$ to 900
Ray-tracing	Frequency	2 GHz
	Number of reflections and diffractions: <i>N</i>	2
	Max. number of transmissions, transmission loss	∞, 10 dB
Genetic algorithm	Path-group size: N <sub>c</sub>	Min (2MN, 100)
	Selection	Elitist strategy
	Crossover	Single-point crossover
	Mutation probability: $P_m$	0.01

Table 1.	Calculation	conditions.

$$x_{i} = \begin{cases} -1000 + \Delta r \cdot j & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 1000 - \Delta r \cdot j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2a)  
$$y_{i} = -1000 + \Delta r \cdot k,$$

where

$$j = i \mod (2000 / \Delta r + 1)$$
  
k = [i / (2000 / \Delta r + 1)]. (2b)

In Eq. (2b), [x] is a Gauss symbol, where the value

is the maximum integer that does not exceed x. The calculation conditions are given in Table 1.

In the next section, the GA\_RT method is evaluated through comparison with the conventional imaging method. The computational efficiency and accuracy were evaluated using the above mentioned calculation rate and calculation error of the received power, respectively. Here, the calculation error is defined as the ratio of the received power using the GA\_RT method to that using the imaging method.



Fig. 9. Relationship between distance normalized by average interval for structure position ( $\eta$ ) and calculation error.

#### 3.2 Simulation results

First, the basic performance of the GA RT method is shown. The calculated point was  $P_1$  in Fig. 7. In this evaluation, the chain model was not applied. The relationship between the threshold value of the calculation rate  $\rho_{th}$  and the calculation error when the number of structures  $M_0$  was 50 is shown in Fig. 8. Note that the calculation error was averaged over 100 trials, in which the position and direction of each wall were changed. In Fig. 8, the calculated results obtained using a random method are also shown for reference. In the random method, the ray-paths, which in practice are traced, are extracted randomly from among the theoretically possible ray-paths. The number of paths was  $\rho_{th} \times N_{all}$ . The results in Fig. 8 indicate that the GA\_RT method is improved by the application of a genetic algorithm because the absolute calculation error in the GA\_RT method is always smaller than that in the random method. Furthermore, it is apparent that the number of calculations can be reduced to approximately 20% if the allowable calculation error is within 4 dB.

Next, the effect of the chain model is described. The relationship between the distance normalized by the average interval of structure position  $\eta$  and the calculation error is shown in **Fig. 9** with  $M_0$  as a parameter. Here, the calculated points are  $P_1$  (as a normal point) and  $P_2$  (as an initial point) shown in Fig. 7, with  $(\rho_{th}^{(0)}, \rho_{th}) = (1, 0)$ . Normalized distance  $\eta$  is defined

Received power calculation error (dB)



Fig. 10. Calculation error characteristics along the course when applying the chain model.

by

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta r}{\sqrt{A/M_0}},\tag{3}$$

where A is an area containing a distribution of walls with a size of 4 km<sup>2</sup> (= 2 km × 2 km). In Fig. 9, the absolute calculation error becomes smaller as the distance between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  becomes shorter, as mentioned in Section 2.2. Thus, our chain model is effective when distance  $\Delta r$  is set to a comparatively short value.

At the end of this section, we present results for area prediction. The calculated points were set on the meandering course shown in Fig. 8 and distance  $\Delta r$  was set to 10 m. Thus, the number of calculation points was 40,401 from Eq. 2, with the start point being (-1000, -1000) and the end point being (1000, 1000). Furthermore, all points except for the start point were normal points because  $\Delta R = \infty$ .

The calculation error characteristics along the course are shown in **Fig. 10** with the threshold value of the normal point calculation rate  $\rho_{th}$  as a parameter. At the start point, the calculation rate was intentionally set to a low value,  $\rho_{th}^{(0)} = 0.01$ , so the calculation accuracy was poor. Note that the calculation accuracy improved step-by-step along the course and was maintained after sufficient improvement had been achieved, even when the calculation rate  $\rho_{th}$  was a low value such as 0.03. The performance, such as the



(a) Conventional ray-tracing method using imaging method



(b) Our ray-tracing method without chain model ( $\rho_{th} = 0.2$ )



(c) Our ray-tracing method with the chain model  $(\Delta R = \infty, \rho_{th}^{(0)} = 0.5, \rho_{th} = 0.05)$ 

Fig. 11. Comparison of calculated received power distributions.

amount of improvement in each step, depended on calculation rate  $\rho_{th}$ .

The results in Figs. 9 and 10 indicate that it should



Fig. 12. Cumulative probability of calculation error in the GA\_RT method with and without the chain model.

be possible to minimize the computation time if the calculation rate  $\rho_{th}^{(0)}$  is set to a value sufficient to give a high calculation accuracy level at the start/initial point. The calculated received power distributions of the conventional imaging method (which is defined as the true result in this article), the GA RT method without the chain model ( $\rho_{th} = 0.2$ ), and the GA\_RT method with the chain model ( $\rho_{th}^{(0)} = 0.5$ ,  $\rho_{th} = 0.05$ ) are shown in Figs. 11(a), (b), and (c), respectively. These results clearly show that the GA RT method with the chain model is very effective for area prediction. The cumulative probability of the calculation error calculated from the results in Fig. 11 is shown in Fig. 12. The computation time was minimized to approximately 6% with a cumulative 50% value of an absolute error of less than 1 dB (mean value: approximately 1 dB).

#### 4. Conclusion

In this article we presented our GA\_RT method with a chain model. The GA\_RT method effectively applies a genetic algorithm to ray-tracing processing based on the imaging method, and the chain model supports the GA\_RT method efficiently when there are many calculation points arranged on a surface, i.e., area prediction. The effectiveness of the method was simulated numerically, and the results showed that a reduction in the computation time by a factor of approximately 17 times can achieved if an error rate of approximately 1 dB is acceptable. Note that this was evaluated based on a comparison with the conventional imaging method.

The GA\_RT method can also be used in combination with other optimization methods. We have already completed an implementation of a previously developed practical propagation prediction system called the Urban Macro Cell Area Prediction System (UMAP) [9]. The next task is to perform a parameter study to make the GA\_RT method work effectively with UMAP and verify the prediction results of the UMAP-GA\_RT combination through comparison with measured results.

#### References

- T. Sarkar, Z. Ji, K. Kim, A. Medouri, and M. Salazar-Palma, "A Survey of Various Propagation Models for Mobile Communication," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 51–82. June 2003.
- [2] A. Paulraj and B. C. Ng, "Space-Time Modems for Wireless Personal Communications," IEEE Personal Communications, pp. 36–48, Feb. 1998.
- [3] R. B. Ertel, P. Cardieri, K. W. Sowerby, T. S. Rappaport, and J. H. Reed, "Overview of Spatial Channel Models for Antenna Array Communication Systems," IEEE Personal Communications, pp. 10–22, Feb. 1998.
- [4] N. Chiba and K. Muraoka, "Introduction to Ray-tracing Computer Graphics," Chapter 3, Science Inc., 1991 (in Japanese).
- T. Imai, "Novel Ray-Tracing Acceleration Technique Employing Genetic Algorithm for Radio Propagation Prediction," IEICE Trans.
  (B), Vol. J89-B, No. 4, pp. 560–575, Apr. 2006 (in Japanese).
- [6] M. Mitchell, "An Introduction to Genetic Algorithms," MIT Press, 1996.

- [7] G. Liang and H. L. Bertoni, "A New Approach to 3-D Ray Tracing for Propagation Prediction in Cities," IEEE Trans. AP, Vol. 46, No. 6, pp. 853–863, June 1998.
- [8] J. Rossi and Y. Gabillet, "A Mixed Ray Launching/Tracing Method for Full 3-D UHF Propagation Modeling and Comparison With Wide-Band Measurements," IEEE Trans. AP, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 517–523, Apr. 2002.
- [9] T. Imai, M. Sumi, and T. Taga, "A Propagation Prediction System for Urban Area Macrocell using Ray-tracing Methods," NTT DoCoMo Technical Journal, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 41–51, June 2004.
- [10] F. Agelet, A. Formella, J. Rabanos, F. Vicente, and F. Fontan, "Efficient Ray-Tracing Acceleration Techniques for Radio Propagation Modeling," IEEE Trans. VT, Vol. 49, No. 6, pp. 2089–2104, Nov. 2000.
- [11] Z. Yun, Z. Zhang, and M. Iskander, "A Ray-Tracing Method Based on the Triangular Grid Approach and Application to Propagation Prediction in Urban Environments," IEEE Trans. AP, Vol. 50, No. 5, pp. 750–758, May 2002.



#### **Tetsuro Imai**

Manager, Radio Access Network Development Department, NTT DoCoMo.

He received the B.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Tohoku University, Miyagi, in 1991 and 2002, respectively. After joining NTT Wireless Systems Laboratories in 1991, he was engaged in R&D of radio propagation, base station antennas, and systems design for mobile communications. He has been with NTT DoCoMo since 1992. He is a member of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE) of Japan and IEEE. He received the IEICE Young Researcher's Award in 1998 and the IEICE Best Paper Award in 2006.