1. Introduction—Text processing aimed at providing an intelligent response

Computers are now able to correctly answer questions such as *How high is Mount Everest?* or to provide information that the user may be interested in but unaware of, such as *It looks like NTT is launching a new service this weekend* via a spoken language interface.

In this article, we introduce the latest techniques to acquire information with a spoken language interface.

For the most part, it seems that the knowledge users require is generally centered around specific entities, such as Mount Everest and NTT in the above examples. Textual expressions relating to such entities are called named entities. This means that the expression *Mount Everest* can be uniquely associated with a single entity.

These named entities are crucial to generate intelligent responses. This is because, for example, the named entity *Mount Everest* can be imparted with additional information such as *height* so that an answer can be found for the question *How high is Mount Everest?*, while for the named entity *NTT*, information about the launch of a new service can be gleaned from the World Wide Web (hereafter, the Web) to enable a response in the form, *It looks like NTT is launching a new service this weekend*. If a computer-accessible knowledge database can be constructed in this way, then it will become possible to provide intelligent responses (Fig. 1).

In this article, we introduce basic techniques for collecting named entities and a technique for extracting the relationships between them.

2. Automatic collection of named entities

If named entities have to be collected to construct a knowledge database, roughly how many named entities are there in the first place? The Wikipedia online encyclopedia contains many named entities; there are over 800,000 entries in the Japanese Wikipedia, and over 4 million in the English Wikipedia. However, these articles are limited to things that are famous or fairly well known, so if we include other less well known people, products, and places, then the total number of entities is quite staggering. Previously, sources of text material were limited to printed media such as newspapers, which imposed severe limitations on the availability of named entities. However, the recent growth of Internet services such as Twitter and blogs has made it possible for users everywhere to publish information by themselves. The Web is now flooded with a wide variety of named entities, and the extraction of these named entities is becoming a very important topic in the construction of knowledge databases.

Since the number of named entities is almost limitless, there is no point trying to manually add each one...
to a database. What we need is some way of extracting named entities from text automatically. In recent years, machine learning has become the tool of choice for the extraction of named entities. For example, let’s consider the phrase Today I met Lisa (Fig. 2). The word Lisa in this phrase would normally be considered a named entity that is probably someone’s name. This is because we expect Lisa to be a person’s name based on the surrounding context. In this way, when we identify named entities, we also simultaneously classify them into a category such as the names of people or places. Similarly, machine learning can extract information (specifically, feature quantities) as cues from the surrounding context. On the basis of a statistical model obtained beforehand, we can simultaneously decide whether or not it is a named entity and, if so, which named entity category it belongs to.

Although broad named entity categories such as the names of people or places can be determined from the context, it can be difficult to infer categories with a finer level of detail. Consider the phrase arrived at K2. It is understood from the context that K2 is a place name, but since the phrase provides no additional information, we need other cues in order to achieve a detailed categorization. (K2 is, in fact, the name of the world’s second highest mountain.)

Unlike existing dictionaries where each dictionary tends to have its own category definitions, CGM (consumer-generated media) dictionaries such as Wikipedia offer a high degree of freedom in the assignment of categories. This can cause problems because a systematic categorization tends not to be maintained. Furthermore, the necessary categories are themselves often application-dependent and cannot be used as-is.

In the following, we introduce two ways of resolving these issues.

3. Extraction of named entities from text

First, in cases where the characteristics of a named entity cannot be grasped from a single sentence, we considered that it should be possible to grasp the characteristics of the named entity by looking at the document as a whole. For example, if we know that the topic of a document concerns a mountain or a river, then it is possible to characterize the named entity.

So how do we go about grasping the topic of a document? A statistical model called a topic model was recently proposed and has been used in many applications [1]. The use of a topic model makes it possible to infer that a document containing words

---

**Fig. 1. Knowledge database based on named entities.**
such as **baseball** and **soccer** is probably related to the topic of **sports**.

By combining the global topic information obtained by this topic model with the local context information (and using them as features), we can gather named entities with greater precision [2]. This idea is shown in **Fig. 3**.

For example, suppose we want to gather named entities corresponding to models of vehicles. To extract the names of cars, it is important to look for words such as **drive** in the surrounding context. However, it is difficult to rule out other categories such as holiday destinations or motor racing video games without additional cues, since these categories can also co-occur with the word **drive**. Even if we assume it has been established that the topic is **vehicles**, there is still some ambiguity with the topic information alone, which could refer to **trains or airplanes**. Only when it is used in conjunction with context information can the ambiguity be greatly reduced, making it possible to accurately infer the correct category of car names.

4. Creating a named entity dictionary from existing dictionaries

The second method involves making use of existing dictionaries. As discussed above, existing dictionaries each have their own category definitions but do not share a unified categorization system. If these categories could be mapped to our desired named entity categories, then it would be possible to use existing dictionaries for the automatic extraction of named entities.
It hardly needs to be said that existing dictionaries are treasure troves of information. For example, if the Wikipedia entry for K2 is assigned to the category Himalayas, then this suggests that it maps to a named entity in the names of mountains category. The phrase _the mountain in_ ~ at the head of the description also provides a useful clue. We have developed a technique that can perform accurate category mapping by combining machine learning with clues obtained from multiple viewpoints in this way [3].

Although this technique has made it possible to extract named entities with high precision, it still has a weak point in that its applicable range is limited to well-known named entities. Therefore, a challenge for the future is to develop a better technique that can be used in conjunction with the automatic extraction of named entities from text as described above.

5. **Extraction of relationships between named entities**

So far, we have introduced a method that automatically constructs a named entity dictionary labeled with category information. However, a named entity dictionary on its own is not able to answer complex questions such as _Who did Maria marry?_ To be able to do this sort of advanced processing, we need new information associated with the named entity. As an example of a practical technique that deals with named entities, we considered a method that extracts relationships between named entities from text. For example, let’s consider how relationships between named entities can be extracted from the sentence _Nancy was shocked that Maria got secretly married to Jack_. We’ll assume that the named entities Maria, Nancy and Jack are extracted. This sentence says that Maria and Jack have the relationship _married_, and that Nancy and Jack have no relationship. If we simply consider the surrounding named entities to be related, then we would mistakenly extract a relationship between Maria and Nancy, even though nothing is said about the relationship between these named entities.

Therefore, as shown in [Fig. 4](#), we have developed a technique based on the results of dependency analysis that uses cues derived from the relative positioning of named entities to figure out if these entities are related, and if so, how they are related [4]. For example, we can see that the clauses about Maria and Jack are both connected to the _married_ clause. We can therefore conclude that Maria and Jack have the relationship _married_. More accurate extraction of relationships between named entities can be achieved by combining the results of dependency analysis with a method that automatically identifies whether or not the surrounding words indicate a lexical relationship between the named entities based on a large-scale text corpus.

6. **Future work**

In this article, we have introduced techniques for extracting knowledge from text in order to generate intelligent responses, with a particular focus on named entities. These techniques can be used in many different applications, including technology that can answer questions like the one posed in the Feature Articles entitled “Question Answering Technology for Pinpointing Answers to a Wide Range of Questions” [5]. It can also be used in search engines and the like.

Targets of knowledge extraction are not only named entities, but also relationship information (as described above), reputation information, and information to infer the attributes of blog/Twitter users. We will continue to further our study of knowledge extraction in the future in order to address the increasingly diverse needs of society and to propose new services.
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